



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/478,799	09/478,799 01/07/2000		Masanobu Hayama	23.1090	2190	
21171	7590	03/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				AWAD,	AWAD, AMR A	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2675	-	
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/200	DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
$\cap$	Α.
IN	ソ
ANO.	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/478,799	HAYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Amr Awad	2675		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amr Awad	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 21-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lation of Annual will r	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence	is necessary
9.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	y and was not earlier presented. S	see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s) AN	IR A. AWAD
13.		PRIMA	RY EXAMINER
		NO(S) AN PRIMA Amy Abina I	hrm
		///	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner still believes that the cited art reads on the claimed invention. Applicant argued that the band 152 of Rowe does not rotate. Applicant contends that the rotating portion is the grooved sements 154. examiner believes that even if we argue that the portion 154 is the rotating portion, this portion is qualiffied as polygonal wheel which rotates about the first axis.